

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/534,262	03/24/2000	Kanji Hata	2000-0351	2898
7590 11/10/2003			EXAMINER	
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
2033 K Street N Suite 800	N W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003	30

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/534,262	HATA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rick K. Chang	3729				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Site, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered ti IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	October 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-fin	al.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			the merits is			
·	ion of Claims						
4)[2]	Claim(s) <u>15-25</u> is/are pending in the application		tion				
€/□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	uon.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>15-25</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	aont				
	ion Papers	or election requirem	iciit.				
_	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approve	d b) disapproved by the Exar	niner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office acti	on.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
а)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been recei	ved in Application No				
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	nal Stage			
14)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisio	nal application).			
_	a)  The translation of the foreign language processes The translation of the foreign language processes. The translation is made of a claim for domes	• •					
Attachmer	•	. ,	<b>5</b>				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application ( Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/534,262

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-17 and 19-20, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al (US 5,743,001) in view of Asai et al (US 5,711,065).

Baker discloses a pair of component supply tables with reels (cassettes are attached at the end of reel), first and second mounting head sections, mounting heads are independently movable in X- and Y-directions to pick-up components from their respective tables, and substantially all the claimed limitations.

Bake fails to disclose providing each of the tables with casters and replacing the tables with desired components therein.

Asai discloses providing a table with casters and replacing the tables with desired components therein (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baker by providing a table with casters and replacing the tables with desired components therein to the Baker's mounting device, as taught by Asai, for the purpose of allowing the component table to reload with different types of components at a component reloading station and speeding up the mounting process.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,262

Art Unit: 3729

NOTE: Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

3. Claims 18 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al (US 5,743,001) in view of Asai et al (US 5,711,065), and further in view of Nakao et al (US 5,743,005).

Baker discloses a pair of component supply tables with reels (cassettes are attached at the end of reel), a pair of U-shaped support frames and base structure, first and second mounting head sections, mounting heads are independently movable in X- and Y-directions to pick-up components from their respective tables, the take-out positions are extending straight line, and substantially all the claimed limitations (see Figures).

Bake fails to disclose providing each of the tables with casters and replacing the tables with desired components therein, and extending the board transfer path through openings in the U-shaped support frames.

Asai discloses providing a table with casters and replacing the tables with desired components therein (Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/534,262 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Nakao discloses extending the board transfer path through openings in the U-shaped support frames (Fig. 6) thereby allowing the mounting heads to travel shorter distances to mount components for decreasing production time.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baker by providing a table with casters and replacing the tables with desired components therein to the Baker's mounting device, as taught by Asai, for the purpose of allowing the component table to reload with different types of components at a component reloading station and speeding up the mounting process.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baker by extending the board transfer path through openings in the U-shaped support frames to the Baker's mounting device, as taught by Nakao, for the purpose of allowing the mounting heads to travel shorter distances to mount components for decreasing production time.

NOTE: Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/534,262 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Interviews After Final

5. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

#### Conclusion

- 6. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/534,262

Art Unit: 3729

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC November 4, 2003